Introduction

Queen Mary, University of London, launched its Report + Support platform in October 2019, following a pilot during September 2019. Report + Support is a secure online platform which allows students, staff and visitors to report issues of bullying, harassment, hate crime or sexual violence. Reports may be anonymous, or may request contact with staff.

Regular trend analysis reports are shared with the Dignity at Work and Study Steering Group, to inform intervention and prevention approaches to make Queen Mary a safe and inclusive community. Data contained in these reports is fully anonymised to ensure there is no risk of identification. The Dignity at Work and Study Steering Group comprises representatives from the Students’ Union, Student and Academic Services, Human Resources, Academic Registry and Council Secretariat and each of the Academic Faculties. The group exists to develop a strategic and sustainable approach to tackling all forms of violence, harassment, bullying and discrimination at Queen Mary, and reports to the Equality, Diversity and Inclusion Steering Group.

It is our aim to increase awareness of the Report + Support platform and to encourage reports, because reports are essential to effective prevention and response. We expect to see increases in reports following communication campaigns and events, and consider an increase in incoming reports to be an indicator of trust and confidence in the reporting process and subsequent response. Specifically, an increase in contact requests (i.e. non-anonymous reports) over time is an indicator of increased trust in the university process.

Data highlights

- A total of 217 reports have been made within the first year.
- Reports of bullying and harassment were most common, making up 53% of all reports, and this was the most commonly reported incident by staff (69%).
- Reports of gender-based violence (sexual harassment, sexual violence, sexual misconduct, domestic violence, so-called ‘honour’-based violence) made up 24.8% of all reports. Such incidents were mainly reported by students, where the accused was also a student (83.3%).
- Gender, ethnicity and nationality were most commonly cited as factors perceived as relevant to the victim being targeted, across all incident types.
- Almost two thirds of reports (65%) were made anonymously. Staff were more likely than students to report anonymously.
- The main reasons for choosing to report anonymously were:
  - I am worried the perpetrator would retaliate
  - Nothing would be done if I made a report
  - I have concerns that making a report may affect my current/future career
How reports are handled

Report is submitted

Report are checked daily (Mon-Fri) by triage team

Report assessed for risk and flagged to senior staff if needed. Action may be taken if deemed appropriate.

If report is anonymous

Report is recorded

If report requests support and/or formal action

Report assigned to relevant team

Reporting party is contacted

Case work*, as appropriate with reporting party

Data trend analysis using anonymised data

Publish 6-month insight report and annual trend reports

Undertake wider intervention and prevention

Within 5 days
What are people reporting?

The data reported here comprises anonymous and non-anonymous reports received through Report + Support from 16th September 2019 – 19th October 2020. It does not include data on reports made directly to another department/service at Queen Mary.

A total of 217 reports were made since launching Report + Support (having removed any duplicates or inappropriate reports).

As can be seen in Figure 1, incidents of bullying and harassment were by far the most reported type of incident, making up 53% of all reports.

- 81.1% of all reports made by Queen Mary staff accused another Queen Mary staff member as the alleged perpetrator (i.e. the reported party). Bullying and harassment was by far the most commonly reported issue (69%) by staff.
- 21.5% of student (UG, PRT, PGR and alumni) reports related to bullying and harassment from another student.

Comparing to the national picture:

According to the TUC (2015), nearly a third of people are bullied in the workplace. [1]

The anti-bullying alliance estimates that 40% of young people were bullied in the last year. [2]

Where gender-based violence (GBV) incidents are grouped (sexual harassment, sexual violence, sexual misconduct, domestic violence, so-called ‘honour’-based violence, forced marriage and FGM), it becomes the second most reported type of incident (24.8% of reports). It is acknowledged that these individual incident types can affect any person. However, women, and people who identify as women, are disproportionally affected and therefore it is common for literature and specialist agencies to refer to ‘gender-based violence’ or ‘VAWG – Violence Against Women and Girls’).

- Gender was cited as a perceived contributing factor in 46 of the 54 (85%) gender-based violence incident reports.
- 38 of the 54 (70.3%) people reporting these incidents identify as female (a further 18.5% did not disclose their gender identity).
- All male respondents in these cases were reporting of behalf of someone else.

Comparing to the national picture:

According to the Home Office (2019), in the UK 1 in 4 women will experience domestic abuse and 1 in 5 sexual assault during her lifetime. [3]

A Crime Survey of England and Wales (2017) estimates 20% of women and 4% of men have experienced some type of sexual assault since the age of 16. [4]

The NUS’s (2010) online survey of female students found that 68% of respondents had been subject to verbal or physical sexual harassment on campus. 14% had experienced a serious physical or sexual assault. [5]

Incidents of GBV were most commonly reported by students, about students (83.3%). Staff were accused of sexual harassment in 3 reports, two of these were reports made by Queen Mary staff. The perpetrator was not known or not connected to Queen Mary in the remaining 6 reports.
Figure 1: Number of reports received, by incident type

According to the NUS Hidden Marks (2010) report, victims of stalking, violence or sexual assault were likely to know their attacker. Across all types of sexual assaults, the majority of perpetrators were students (58%), the majority of whom studied at the same institution (70%). [5]

According to the 1752 Group report, Power in the Academy (2018) examining staff sexual misconduct in higher education, 4 in 10 survey respondents who were current students had experienced at least one experience of sexualised behaviour from staff. Less than 1 in 10 who experienced staff sexual misconduct reported it to their institution. It is important to acknowledge that an absence of reports naming staff as perpetrators of sexual misconduct should not be interpreted as an absence of incidents. [6]

“bullying and harassment were by far the most reported type of incident, making up 53% of all reports”
What factors were perceived to contribute to the victim being targeted?

Our reporting forms offer the reporting party an opportunity to reflect on and tell us if they feel that the victim was targeted because of any protected characteristics (please note, the victim may not be the same as the reporting party). Gender, ethnicity and nationality were most commonly cited as factors perceived as relevant to the victim being targeted, across all incident types.

The following wordcloud represents Protected characteristics, which reporting parties have stated as relevant to the incident(s) reported (may select more than one).

- Gender (43.4%), nationality (34.7%) and ethnicity (33%) were most commonly cited as perceived causes of incidents of bullying and harassment.
- 50% of respondents identified two or more protected characteristics as perceived causes of the incident they reported.
Anonymous reporting understandably limits what action can be taken by the University. If there is a cluster of anonymous reports relating to a particular department or a particular type of incident, some generalised action can be taken (e.g. awareness raising campaigns; environmental investigation within a department). For action to be taken on a specific report, we require the individual(s) to report with their contact details so that we may ascertain more information and provide advice on options for further investigation and/or support.

As shown in Figure 2, almost two thirds of all reports were submitted anonymously. Queen Mary staff were more likely to report anonymously (78.3%) than with their contact details (21.6%). A similar proportion of students chose to report anonymously (47%) or with their contact details (52.9%).

Our anonymous reporting form includes a question about the reasons for choosing to report anonymously. This helps to identify common barriers to named reporting, which hopefully can be addressed as the project goes on, to increase people’s confidence in coming forward with their contact details, so that action can be taken on specific reports. We’ve found that many people reporting anonymously fear retaliation from the reported party if they reported with their contact details, and a significant number were concerned that nothing would be done by the university if they reported with their contact details. The top four reasons that were given for choosing to report anonymously are on the next page.
I am worried the perpetrator would retaliate

Nothing would be done if I made a report

I have concerns that making a report may affect my current/future career

I am worried about being called a trouble maker
Who is coming forward to make a report?

The majority of reports (58%) were made on behalf of oneself, however 42% were made on behalf of someone else. It is important to bear in mind that the reporting party and the victim are not always the same person.

Most of the reports received have come from students.

Our reporting form collects optional information on equality monitoring information about the reporting party (who is not necessarily the victim). It is important to note that many respondents left these questions blank or marked ‘prefer not to say’.

However, of the responses received from these questions, most reporting parties are:

- 18-21 years old
- Do not consider themselves to have a disability
- White
- Female
- Do not have religious belief
- Heterosexual
- Their gender matches the sex they were assigned at birth

Most student reports accused students (71.6%), and similarly, most staff reports accused staff members of the incident (81.8%).

The next page shows the breakdown of where the reporting party and reported (i.e. accused) party are based at Queen Mary. The reporting party and reported party were not necessarily from the same faculty.

Statistics on hate crimes recorded by the police (2019-2020) and the Crime Survey (2017-2020) for England and Wales indicate high numbers of incidents on the basis of race, disability, sexual orientation, religion and gender identity. The ‘average’ reporting party on Report + Support does not align with this data. It is important for the Dignity at Work and Study Steering Group to explore possible reasons for this (e.g. people opted to not respond to these questions; people who are most at risk of hate-related incidents are not aware of how to report or do not feel confident or empowered to report). [7]
Incident hotspots

Both anonymous and contact reports help us to identify trends in incident types and any possible ‘hotspot’ locations or Faculties/Schools/departments.

Data for this year shows that most of the reports received relate to recent incidents (53.5%), which took place within the past three months. There have been more reports accusing someone within the School of Physics and Astronomy compared to other Schools or departments, however this is a very small relative difference and these reports only make up 6% of all reports, so it is difficult to define this as a ‘hotspot’ of incidents. Of these, 79% were reported anonymously and so we cannot rule out that multiple reports were made by the same person.

We will continue to monitor for potential incident hotspots.
Of the 77 reports that gave contact details, 69 requested an investigation by Queen Mary and 29 requested to be referred to support services. (It was possible to choose either or both options.)

These reports were assigned to HR (for reports relating to staff), the Academic Appeals, Complaints and Conduct Team and/or Advice and Counselling.

Reports were triaged and assigned or closed with an average of 1.28 days. Cases which have been closed were closed within an average of 103 days (calendar days, not working days).

### Outcomes and action taken

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Discussed risk factors and basic safety advice given</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External referral (e.g. social services, police, external counselling, GP, Sexual Assault Referral Centre, Rape Crisis)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal referral: Academic Appeals, Conduct and Complaints Office for investigation</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal referral: Employee Assistance Programme (EAP)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal referral for local resolution (within academic school/ line management / residences)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal referral: Occupational Health</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal referral: Other</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal referral: Students’ Union Academic Advice Service</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal referral: Student Support services (Advice and Counselling; Disability and Dyslexia Service)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investigation: Formal Action (e.g Disciplinary Hearing)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investigation: No further action</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No further action: no case to answer</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No response / disengaged - case closed</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Report withdrawn by reporting party</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support / advice given</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triage: Closed as anonymous / no action required</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triage: Closed as duplicate</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triage: Report inappropriate - contacted reporting party and signposted elsewhere</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triage: Test / spam report</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urgent safeguarding action taken</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In addition to managing incoming reports into Report + Support, the Project Manager: Tackling Sexual Violence, Harassment and Hate Crime is responsible for commissioning training, promoting the Report + Support platform and raising awareness of sexual violence, harassment and hate crime.

**Training for staff**

A total of 139 staff have attended training between August 2019 – October 2020:

- 54 attended one of four Hate Crime awareness training – delivered by Stop Hate UK
- 44 attended Violence Against Women and Girls (VAWG) awareness - delivered by Tower Hamlets VAWG team
- 41 attended Sexual Violence Support Awareness training - delivered by East London Rape Crisis (Nia) and Victim Support

Where feedback was available from these staff, this was extremely positive:

- Do you feel you have improved awareness of the topic? Yes - 100% of respondents
- Do you know where to signpost victims for support? Yes - 100% of respondents
- If you were to become a victim would you feel more confident in reporting to a professional? Yes – 93% of respondents
- Do you feel more confident identifying and responding to perpetrators of abuse? Yes – 98% of respondents

Further training sessions were unfortunately cancelled due to the pandemic, though there are plans to offer online training sessions for staff.

**Training for students**

We have commissioned a three-year contract for online training on sexual consent, Consent Matters. This was promoted to all students in September 2020 and was accompanied a promotional campaign. To date, 1,564 students have accessed the course (completion rate of 4.2%).

There will be a further promotional campaign for this training in January 2021.

**Awareness campaigns**

Report and Support has been promoted to staff and students across a number of communications over the past year, alongside key messages to raise awareness of issues of harassment and gender-based violence:

- Launch campaign and promotion during Anti-Bullying Week (October 2019)
- Hate crime awareness (Oct 2019 and Feb 2020)
- Signposting to Report + Support during Asian heritage month (March 2020)
- Domestic violence signposting support in Lockdown (May 2020)
- Consent awareness and promoting Consent Matters (Sept 2020)
- Expected behaviours and online etiquette (Nov 2020)
- Domestic violence signposting in lockdown (Nov 2020)
Awareness of Report + Support

The 2020 UKES student survey responses revealed that 37.9% of respondents were not aware of Report + Support. 38.7% did not require the service and 83.1% were satisfied with Report + Support.

No such comparable data is available for staff. There have been a total of 10,622 unique visits to the Report + Support webpages, with most activity occurring in the weeks following launch and again in February – March 2020.

![Unique visits to the Report + Support webpages.](image)

Planned changes for year two

The reporting forms have been amended slightly following consultation with colleagues from HR, EDI and the Trade Union representatives. The ‘Bullying and Harassment’ category has now been separated out to more clearly define ‘bullying’ and ‘harassment’ categories. Staff roles have now been broken down into ‘Academic’ and ‘Professional Services’.
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